UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,393	02/06/2004	Jason S. Erdic	EPT-15067	6337	
	7590 08/24/2007 L, PORTER & CLARK, LL	p	EXAMINER		
925 EUCLID AVENUE, SUITE 700			ELKINS, GARY E		
CLEVELAND	CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1405		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3782		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	1		08/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/773,393	ERDIE, JASON S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary E. Elkins	3782				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuing and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	une 2007					
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-13,18-20 and 22</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7 and 14-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•	,					
Attachment(s)	_					
1)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/773,393 Page 2

Art Unit: 3782

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 7 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Helms. Helms discloses an end cap and paper tube where the tube includes an inwardly extending flap F and the end cap includes a channel formed by sections 20 (arcuate), 22 and 25 which receives the flap. With respect to claim 16, note is made that section 22 contacts the outer surface at the top of the container in the closed position of the cap. No distinction is seen between the end cap and container of Helms and that claimed as a result of the claimed intended use of the end cap to be selectively rotatable between the two positions claimed, i.e. the end cap of Helms is considered capable of being rotated as it is being inserted or removed to a position where the flap is not received and engaged in the channel when a bottom end of the end cap is still within the opening and a completely closed position where the channel receives the flap.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3782

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Helms in view of Ignell. Helms discloses all structure of the claimed tube and end cap except a projection on the bottom wall of the end cap. Ignell teaches that it is known to make an end cap with a projection (14) to facilitate manipulating the end cap. It would have been obvious to make the end cap of Helms with a projection as taught by Ignell to facilitate manipulating the end cap by hand.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 8-13, 18-20 and 22 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 14 June 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The remarks assert that the lid in Helms is not selectively rotatable between two positions as claimed. In response, the lid of Helms is considered capable or adapted to be positioned as claimed. In order to remove the lid of Helms, the lower portion of the sidewall 24 of the end cap must rotate out of or into alignment and engagement with the bottom of the sidewall flap prior to complete removal or insertion, respectively out of or into the end of the container. Immediately after rotation of the sidewall, the end cap has the capability of assuming the first position since the end cap is still partially in the opening. It is noted that the end cap also has the capability of being rotated around a central axis after the bottom engagement of the sidewall flap is released or prior to initial engagement of the end cap with the sidewall of the container.

Art Unit: 3782

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Gary E. Elkins Primary Evamin

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3782

gee

20 August 2007